

Available online at www.sciencedirect.com

Veterinary Parasitology 144 (2007) 371–374

veterinary parasitology

www.elsevier.com/locate/vetpar

Penetration of the mosquito midgut is not required for Brugia pahangi microfilariae to avoid the melanotic encapsulation response of Armigeres subalbatus

Short communication

Brenda T. Beerntsen^{a,*}, Lyric C. Bartholomay^b, Roy J. Lowery^a

^a Department of Veterinary Pathobiology, 201 Connaway Hall, University of Missouri, Columbia, MO 65211, USA ^b Department of Entomology, Science Hall II, Iowa State University, Ames, IA 50011-3140, USA

Received 3 August 2006; received in revised form 15 September 2006; accepted 29 September 2006

Abstract

Insect vectors of disease have the capacity to respond to, and prevent further development of, parasites and pathogens using a response known as melanotic encapsulation. The naturally-occurring Armigeres subalbatus-Brugia spp. system provides an excellent way to investigate melanotic encapsulation and immune recognition in a mosquito host, because Brugia malayi microfilariae (mf) acquired via a blood meal are rapidly melanized in the body cavity of Ar. subalbatus, but Brugia pahangi mf evade or suppress the immune response and develop normally into infective stage larvae. Previous studies have suggested that B. pahangi mf are changed in some manner in the process of exiting the mosquito gut, thereby facilitating escape from, or suppression of, the melanotic encapsulation response. By inoculating mosquitoes with parasites, thus circumventing the midgut, we show that \sim 88% of B. pahangi mf escape the melanotic encapsulation response while approximately 90% of inoculated B. malayi mf are melanized. Methods to isolate parasites for this procedure are described. These results mimic those observed in Ar. subalbatus against Brugia spp. mf that are ingested following blood feeding, and demonstrate that midgut penetration is not required for B. pahangi mf to avoid the melanotic encapsulation response of Ar. subalbatus.

 \odot 2006 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

Keywords: Brugia malayi; Brugia pahangi; Armigeres subalbatus; Melanization; Blood-isolated; Microfilaria

1. Introduction

Melanotic encapsulation is an immune response employed by mosquitoes to destroy protozoan and metazoan parasites, including malaria parasites and filarial worms that cause lymphatic filariasis and dog heartworm [\(Christensen et al., 2005; Beerntsen et al.,](#page-3-0) [2000\)](#page-3-0). Efforts to study melanotic encapsulation often have involved the isolation of microfilariae (mf) of

* Corresponding author. Tel.: +1 573 882 5033; fax: +1 573 884 5414.

Brugia spp. and *Dirofilaria immitis* from vertebrate blood via a series of distilled water washes. These mf then are injected intrathoracically into mosquitoes, thereby initiating a melanization response ([Christensen](#page-3-0) [et al., 1984](#page-3-0)). Microfilariae that have been washed with saline and then subjected to a filtration technique prior to injection into a mosquito also elicit a melanization response, although it is not as robust [\(Beerntsen et al.,](#page-3-0) [1989\)](#page-3-0).

The naturally-occurring Armigeres subalbatus-Brugia spp. system provides an ideal way to investigate immune recognition as well as the melanotic encapsulation response, because it circumvents the inoculation process and avoids a wound healing response that

E-mail address: BeerntsenB@missouri.edu (B.T. Beerntsen).

^{0304-4017/\$ –} see front matter \odot 2006 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved. doi[:10.1016/j.vetpar.2006.09.037](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.vetpar.2006.09.037)

incorporates many of the same components and pathways involved in a melanization response against mf ([Lai et al., 2002](#page-3-0)). In this system, B. malayi mf are rapidly melanized in the hemocoel of Ar. subalbatus, but B. pahangi mf evade or suppress the immune response and develop normally into infective stage larvae ([Yamamoto et al., 1985; Beerntsen et al., 1989](#page-3-0)).

This latter observation, coupled with those involving the inoculation of mf isolated via distilled water or saline washes, suggested that penetration of the mosquito midgut changes B. pahangi mf in some manner to facilitate escape from, or suppression of, the melanotic encapsulation response [\(Sutherland et al.,](#page-3-0) [1984; LaFond et al., 1985; Christensen et al., 1987;](#page-3-0) [Damian, 1997](#page-3-0)). In this study, we use B. malayi and B. pahangi mf from cat and dog blood, respectively, isolated using a modified filtration technique, to test the hypothesis that midgut penetration is prerequisite for immune evasion. When isolated and injected into mosquitoes, B. malayi mf are melanized, but most B. pahangi mf evade the melanotic encapsulation response, mimicking the situation that exists when mosquitoes are infected with a blood meal and the mf subsequently penetrate the midgut prior to entering the mosquito hemocoel. This result suggests that B. pahangi mf do not need to penetrate the Ar. subalbatus midgut to avoid or suppress the melanization response.

2. Material and methods

2.1. Mosquitoes

Ar. subalbatus were maintained as previously described [\(Beerntsen et al., 1989\)](#page-3-0). Adult mosquitoes were maintained at 27° C and 80% relative humidity with a 16 h light and 8 h dark cycle. Three to 7 day old female mosquitoes were used for these experiments.

2.2. Isolation of microfilariae

B. malayi mf were isolated from feline blood and B. pahangi mf were isolated from canine blood. Blood containing B. pahangi or B. malayi mf was filtered and concentrated using Millipore mixed cellulose esters filters $(5.0 \mu m, \text{white}, \text{SMWP}, 25 \mu m)$. Briefly 1.0 ml of blood containing mf was added to 9.0 ml of physiologic (Aedes) saline [\(Hayes, 1953](#page-3-0)) and the mix was passed through a 10.0 ml syringe connected to a filter holder containing a pre-wetted filter. The filter then was washed with an additional 5.0–10.0 ml of Aedes saline before being removed and placed in a watch glass containing sufficient Aedes saline to keep

the filter hydrated. After the desired amount of blood had been filtered, mf were washed off of filters using a Pasteur pipet and saline. These combined mf were brought to 10.0 ml in saline and filtered one final time. The mf then were washed off of the final filter into a watch glass kept on ice. After isolation, mf motility was confirmed for both species as a preliminary measure of mf viability.

2.3. Inoculation of microfilariae and mosquito dissection

Approximately 20 mf were aspirated into a finely drawn capillary tube and inoculated intrathoracically into a single mosquito using methods previously described [\(Christensen, 1981](#page-3-0)). At 48 h post-inoculation (PI), inoculated Ar. subalbatus were dissected in a drop of Aedes saline on a microscope slide as described by [Beerntsen et al. \(1989\)](#page-3-0). Slides were examined at 100– $200 \times$ using phase-contrast optics. The presence of melanotic deposits on all or part of a microfilaria was considered a positive reaction. A portion of the inoculated mosquitoes was held for 14 days PI, at which time the prevalence and mean intensity of thirdstage larvae were determined. Experiments were performed in triplicate using independent populations of mf and mosquitoes. Microfilaria melanization data were analyzed using a z-test and L_3 infection prevalences were analyzed using a Fisher exact test.

3. Results and discussion

At 48 h PI, the mf-inoculated mosquitoes were dissected and melanization rates assessed ([Fig. 1\)](#page-2-0). Using this isolation approach, approximately 90% of B. *malayi* mf were melanized while only \sim 12% of *B*. *pahangi* mf were melanized $(z = 16.035; P$ value < 0.001). On day 14 PI, the prevalence rates of infective third-stage larvae (L_3s) were 0% (0/50) and 100% (44/44), with mean intensities of 0 and 11.0 L₃s

Table 1

Percentage of Ar. subalbatus infected and mean parasite intensity of third-stage larvae in mosquitoes inoculated with B. pahangi or B. malayi microfilariae

Parasite	Percentage	Mean parasite
species	infected ^a	intensity \pm S.E. (range)
B. pahangi B. malayi	100 $(44/44)^{b}$ 0(0/50)	11.0 ± 0.799 (1-22)

^a Fisher exact test: $P < 0.001$.

^b Number of mosquitoes harboring infective-stage larvae/number of mosquitoes inoculated and surviving 14 days PI.

Fig. 1. Melanization response at day 2 post-inoculation in Ar. subalbatus inoculated with B. malayi or B. pahangi microfilariae (mf) isolated from blood. B. pahangi mf are melanized at a significantly lower rate than B. malayi mf. Data shown are for each individual experiment (A) as well as for the combined experiments (B). Range bars indicate standard error of the mean. Above the range bars, the top number indicates the number of mosquitoes examined; the bottom number indicates the number of mf recovered. All comparisons of the two parasite species are significant with a Pvalue < 0.001 ; $z = 16.035$ for the combined experiments.

for mosquitoes inoculated with B. malayi and B. *pahangi*, respectively ([Table 1\)](#page-1-0). The presence of L_3 s in injected mosquitoes demonstrated that B. pahangi mf, unlike B. malayi parasites, were able to avoid the melanotic encapsulation response of Ar. subalbatus and develop successfully into infective stage larvae. In contrast, mf isolated from mammalian host blood using a distilled water method resulted in large proportions of melanized mf of both *B. malayi* (\sim 90% by Day 2 PI) and *B. pahangi* (\sim 80% by Day 2 PI) ([Beerntsen et al.,](#page-3-0) [1989\)](#page-3-0). [Beerntsen et al. \(1989\)](#page-3-0) also showed that $~\sim 65\%$ of *B. pahangi* ($n = 28$ mosquitoes examined) and 82% of B. malayi mf ($n = 25$ mosquitoes) isolated from blood using a Metricel[®] (Pall/Gelman) filter resulted in a significantly lower rate of melanization at day 3 PI for B. pahangi as compared with B. malayi ($P < 0.018$). Since this paper was published, further modifications of the filtration technique have now consistently and reliably resulted in low melanization rates of inoculated B. pahangi by Ar. subalbatus, similar to those observed against mf acquired via feeding on a B. pahangiinfected vertebrate host.

It appears that differences in the membrane filter composition and fewer washes with Aedes saline have resulted in a gentler isolation method as evidenced by the reduction in melanization of the B. pahangi mf. In [Beerntsen et al. \(1989\)](#page-3-0), a Metricel membrane composed of polyvinylidene chloride was used to isolate the mf followed by several washes with Aedes saline until the filtrate was clear in color. In this current research, a membrane made of mixed cellulose esters was used and the mf were washed only twice with Aedes saline.

Previous reports have suggested that following midgut penetration, B. pahangi mf may have altered surface charges or may have acquired midgut antigens on their surface, thereby enabling them to avoid immune recognition and the subsequent melanotic encapsulation response [\(Sutherland et al., 1984; LaFond](#page-3-0) [et al., 1985; Christensen et al., 1987; Beerntsen et al.,](#page-3-0) [1989\)](#page-3-0). The results reported herein indicate that Ar. subalbatus respond to inoculated blood-isolated mf, which have never been exposed to the midgut environment, in the same differential manner as is observed against mf that are acquired via blood feeding on an infected mammalian host and that subsequently penetrate through the midgut. In this report, most bloodisolated B. pahangi mf avoid melanization and develop into L_3 s whereas *B. malayi* are melanized and fail to develop into infective stage parasites within Ar. subalbatus. Therefore, an inherent difference exists in the presentation of these two species of parasites to the mosquito immune system. Consequently, with the availability of genome projects and functional genomics tools for mosquitoes and filarial worms, including B. malayi [\(Williams et al., 2000; Mongin et al., 2004;](#page-3-0) [Severson et al., 2004; Whitton et al., 2004](#page-3-0)), it is now an ideal time to revisit the possible explanations as to how parasites evade the immune response within the mosquito. Towards this end, studies designed to assess transcriptional and protein differences between these two morphologically and biologically similar filarial worms are in progress.

In summary, the use of a less disruptive Aedes saline/ Millipore filter isolation method ensures that the response in Ar. subalbatus against each Brugia spp. will be the same as that which occurs following blood feeding. More importantly, this research demonstrates that midgut penetration is not required in order for B.

pahangi mf to avoid the melanotic encapsulation response of Ar. subalbatus.

Acknowledgements

Parasites used in this study were provided by the Filariasis Research Reagent Resource Center (Contract No. N01AI30022), which is funded by the NIAID, NIH, Department of Health and Human Services. We thank Dr. Ron Tessman for statistical analysis advice.

References

- Beerntsen, B.T., James, A.A., Christensen, B.M., 2000. Genetics of mosquito vector competence. Microbiol. Mol. Biol. Rev. 64, 115– 137.
- Beerntsen, B.T., Luckhart, S., Christensen, B.M., 1989. Brugia malayi and Brugia pahangi: Inherent difference in immune activation in the mosquitoes Armigeres subalbatus and Aedes aegypti. J. Parasitol. 75, 76–81.
- Christensen, B.M., 1981. Observations on the immune response of Aedes trivittatus against Dirofilaria immitis. Trans. R. Soc. Trop. Med. Hyg. 75, 439–443.
- Christensen, B.M., Forton, K.F., Lafond, M.M., Grieve, R.B., 1987. Surface changes on Brugia pahangi microfilariae and their association with immune evasion in Aedes aegypti. J. Invertebr. Pathol. 49, 14–18.
- Christensen, B.M., Li, J., Chen, C.C., Nappi, A.J., 2005. Melanization immune responses in mosquito vectors. Trends Parasitol. 21, 192– 199.
- Christensen, B.M., Sutherland, D.R., Gleason, L.N., 1984. Defense reactions of mosquitoes to filarial worms: Comparative studies on the response of three different mosquitoes to inoculated Brugia

pahangi and Dirofilaria immitis microfilariae. J. Invertebr. Pathol. 44, 267–274.

- Damian, R.T., 1997. Parasite immune evasion and exploitation: reflections and projections. Parasitol. 115, S169–S175.
- Hayes, R.O., 1953. Determination of a physiological saline for Aedes aegypti (L.). J. Econ. Entomol. 46, 624–627.
- LaFond, M.M., Christensen, B.M., Lasee, B.A., 1985. Defense reactions of mosquitoes to filarial worms: potential mechanism for avoidance of the response by Brugia pahangi microfilariae. J. Invertebr. Pathol. 46, 26–30.
- Lai, S.C., Chen, C.C., Hou, R.F., 2002. Immunolocalization of prophenoloxidase in the process of wound healing in the mosquito Armigeres subalbatus (Diptera: Culicidae). J. Med. Entomol. 39, 266–274.
- Mongin, E., Louis, C., Holt, R.A., Birney, E., Collins, F.H., 2004. The Anopheles gambiae genome: an update. Trends Parasitol. 20, 49– 52.
- Severson, D.W., Knudson, D.L., Soares, M.B., Loftus, B.J., 2004. Aedes aegypti genomics. Insect Biochem. Mol. Biol. 34, 715–721.
- Sutherland, D.R., Christensen, B.M., Forton, K.F., 1984. Defense reaction of mosquitoes to filarial worms: role of the microfilarial sheath in the response of mosquitoes to inoculated Brugia pahangi microfilariae. J. Invertebr. Pathol. 44, 275–281.
- Whitton, C., Daub, J., Quail, M., Hall, N., Foster, J., Ware, J., Ganatra, M., Slatko, B., Barrell, B., Blaxter, M., 2004. A genome sequence survey of the filarial nematode Brugia malayi: repeats, gene discovery, and comparative genomics. Mol. Biochem. Parasitol. 137, 215–227.
- Williams, S.A., Lizotte-Waniewski, M.R., Foster, J., Guiliano, D., Daub, J., Scott, A.L., Slatko, B., Blaxter, M.L., 2000. The filarial genome project: analysis of the nuclear, mitochondrial and endosymbiont genomes of Brugia malayi. Int. J. Parasitol. 30, 411–419.
- Yamamoto, H., Kobayashi, M., Ogura, N., Tsuruoka, H., Chigusa, Y., 1985. Studies on filariasis. VI. The encapsulation of Brugia malayi and B. pahangi larva in the mosquito, Armigeres subalbatus. Japanese J. Sanit. Zool. 36, 1–6.