

Biodiversity of lactic acid bacteria in Moroccan soft white cheese (Jben)

Mouna Ouadghiri^a, Mohamed Amar^{a,*}, Marc Vancanneyt^b, Jean Swings^{b,c}

^a *Laboratoire de Microbiologie et Biologie Moléculaire, Centre National pour la Recherche Scientifique et Technique (CNIRST),¹ Laboratory of microbiology and Molecular Biology (LMBM), 52, bd Omar Ibn Khattab, BP 8027-10102 Agdal, Rabat, Morocco*

^b *BCCM/IMG Bacteria Collection, Ghent University, Ledeganckstraat 35, B-9000 Ghent, Belgium*

^c *Laboratory of Microbiology, Faculty of Sciences, Ghent University, Ledeganckstraat 35, B-9000 Ghent, Belgium*

Received 14 April 2005; received in revised form 9 June 2005; accepted 9 August 2005

First published online 25 August 2005

Edited by W. Kneifel

Abstract

The bacterial diversity occurring in traditional Moroccan soft white cheese, produced in eight different regions in Morocco, was studied. A total of 164 lactic acid bacteria were isolated, purified and identified by whole-cell protein fingerprinting and rep-PCR genomic fingerprinting. The majority of the strains belonged to the genera *Lactobacillus*, *Lactococcus*, *Leuconostoc* and *Enterococcus*. Sixteen species were identified: *Lactobacillus plantarum*, *Lactobacillus rhamnosus*, *Lactobacillus paracasei*, *Lactobacillus brevis*, *Lactobacillus buchneri*, *Lactococcus lactis*, *Lactococcus garvieae*, *Lactococcus raffinolactis*, *Leuconostoc pseudomesenteroides*, *Leuconostoc mesenteroides*, *Leuconostoc citreum*, *Enterococcus durans*, *Enterococcus faecalis*, *Enterococcus faecium*, *Enterococcus saccharominimus* and *Streptococcus* sp.

© 2005 Federation of European Microbiological Societies. Published by Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

Keywords: Moroccan soft white cheese; Identification; Lactic acid bacteria; Biodiversity; Protein fingerprinting; (GTG)₅-PCR genomic fingerprinting; GelCompar II

1. Introduction

Moroccan soft white cheese is a traditional dairy product that has been known and highly appreciated by consumers for centuries. It is widely manufactured and consumed in Morocco, especially in the “Ramadan” fasting month. Benkerroum and Tamime [1] have recently reviewed the scientific, technological, and tech-

nology transfer aspects of Moroccan traditional dairy products Lben (fermented skimmed milk), Jben (soft white cheese), and Smen (fermented butter). The traditional soft white cheese (Jben) made from non-pasteurized milk, is characterized by a total dry matter of about 35% and a pH lower than 4.5 [2]. Nowadays, Jben is also prepared from pasteurized milk. The final characteristics of a typical Jben are variable and affected by preparation of the cheese. Its production does not conform with official hygiene and other regulatory standards and follows informal marketing routes. The microflora of Jben is dominated by lactic acid bacteria (LAB) present in a range of at least 10⁸–10⁹ cfu/g [3,4]. No comprehensive identification study on the LAB flora has been performed. Among phenotypic

¹ Labsite: <http://www.cmm.ma> and Institution site: <http://www.cnr.ac.ma>.

* Corresponding author. Tel.: +212 37 77 86 76/61 22 98 85; fax: +212 37 77 12 88/86 76.

E-mail addresses: amar@cnr.ac.ma, mohamedamar23@yahoo.fr (M. Amar).

methods used, sodium dodecyl sulfate–polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (SDS–PAGE) of whole-cell proteins has proven to be a useful tool for LAB identification [5–9]. Genotypic techniques have also been applied for the identification of LAB and include plasmid profiling, restriction enzyme analysis, pulsed-field gel electrophoresis, ribotyping, random amplified polymorphic DNA and Rep-techniques [10].

The present study reveals the diversity of LAB in Moroccan soft white cheese traditionally produced in eight different regions. For the identification, the API 50CHL gallery (Biomérieux, Marcy l'Etoile, France), SDS–PAGE of whole-cell proteins [11] and rep-PCR using the (GTG)₅ primer [12,13] have been used.

2. Material and methods

2.1. Isolation of LAB and cultivation conditions

Traditional Moroccan soft white cheese was sampled in eight different regions of Morocco (Table 1). Samples were kept refrigerated until arrival in the laboratory for analysis. Tenfold dilutions were plated on Man-Rogosa-Sharpe (MRS) agar (Biolife, Italy) supplemented with sorbic acid (Panreac quimica SA, Spain) and incubated under the following conditions: (1) microaerophilic at 37 °C and (2) aerobic at 30 °C. A total of 164 strains of LAB (Gram positive, catalase negative and oxydase negative) were isolated. All strains were maintained as frozen stocks at –80 °C in MRS broth (Biolife, Italy) supplemented with 15% of glycerol.

2.2. Reference strains

Reference strains used for identification of LAB isolates were obtained from the BCCM/LMG Bacteria Collection (<http://www.belspo.be/bccm/lmg.htm>): *Enterococcus durans* (LMG 12283, LMG 12903, LMG 10746^T); *Enterococcus faecalis* (LMG 7937^T, LMG 7938); *Enterococcus faecium* (LMG 8147); *Lactobacillus brevis* (LMG 11434); *Lactobacillus plantarum* (LMG 6907^T, LMG 18021); *Lactobacillus rhamnosus* (LMG 6400^T); *Lactobacillus sake* subsp. *carneus* (LMG 17302^T); *Lactococcus lactis* subsp. *lactis* (LMG 9441, LMG 7930); *Lactococcus lactis* subsp. *cremoris* (LMG 6897^T); *Leuconostoc mesenteroides* subsp. *cremoris* (LMG 6909^T); *Leuconostoc mesenteroides* subsp. *dextranicum* (LMG 6908^T).

2.3. Biochemical and phenotypic identification of LAB

All LAB isolates were identified by SDS–PAGE of whole-cell proteins. Standardized cultivation conditions and extraction procedures were used. Preparation of whole cell extract was performed according to the meth-

od described for Gram positive bacteria [14]. Separation of protein extracts using SDS–PAGE was performed as previously described [15]. The digital protein patterns were normalized using the software package GelCompar II (Applied Maths, Sint-Martens-Latem, Belgium). The isolates were identified by comparison of their patterns with those in the in-house database available at BCCM/LMG Bacteria Collection.

Thirty four isolates of LAB were additionally identified by API 50 CH strips with API 50 CHL Medium (Biomérieux, Marcy l'Etoile, France). The tests were undertaken following the instructions of the manufacturer, and the results were read after two days of incubation at 30 °C; identification employed the software package API LAB provided by the manufacturer.

2.4. rep-PCR genomic fingerprinting

rep-PCR was applied to all 164 strains. Total DNA was extracted as previously described [13]. The primer used was (GTG)₅, (5'-GTGGTGGTGGTGGTG-3') as previously described [12]. PCR amplifications were performed with a DNA thermal cycler Gene Amp^R PCR System 2700 (Applied biosystems, USA). The PCR products were separated in a 1.5% agarose gel (15 cm by 20 cm) for 16 h at a constant voltage of 2 V cm⁻¹ in 1X Tris Acetate EDTA (TAE) at 4 °C. The rep-PCR profiles were visualized after staining with ethidium bromide under ultraviolet light, followed by digital image capturing using a CCD Camera 570 LTV (GEL SMART, France). The resulting fingerprints were analyzed by GelCompar II software package (Applied Maths, Sint-Martens-Latem, Belgium).

3. Results and discussion

In all analyzed cheese samples, LAB were present in total counts of 108–109 cfu/g. This observation is in accordance with results reported by other authors [3,4]. All isolates were identified by SDS–PAGE and identity was confirmed by (GTG)₅-PCR. For the 34 isolates identified by API 50 CHL, only 22 isolates (64.70%) were in agreement with both of the other methodologies (Table 2).

In Casablanca and Rabat, a more extensive sampling was performed, yielding 36 and 44 LAB isolates, respectively, reflecting a broader species spectrum than in other regions. In the case that only one sample of cheese was analyzed, we were able to isolate at least four different LAB species. Grouping based on (GTG)₅-PCR, which provided the highest resolution, did not reveal any correlation with the geographic origin of the samples. All identification results of the present study are summarized in Table 1.

Table 1
Biodiversity of LAB in Moroccan soft white cheese

Location	Agadir	Casablanca	Fes	Marrakech	Rabat	Safi	Sefrou	Tetouan	Number of isolates	Identification	
										Species ^a	Genus (number of isolates) (%)
Number of samples	1	3	1	2	6	1	2	1			
Number of isolates	17	36	10	18	44	15	12	12			
cfu/g ^b	2×10^9	5×10^8	10^9	7.5×10^8		2×10^8	7×10^8	8.3×10^8	3×10^9		
	2	6		12	6	2	4	4	36	<i>Lactobacillus plantarum</i> (a)	<i>Lactobacillus</i> (56) (34%)
		8							8	<i>Lactobacillus rhamnosus</i> (b)	
	2	2		1	1				6	<i>Lactobacillus paracasei</i> (c)	
				2	1			2	5	<i>Lactobacillus brevis</i> (d)	
		1							1	<i>Lactobacillus buchneri</i> (e)	
	11	7			16	7	1		42	<i>Lactococcus lactis</i> (f)	<i>Lactococcus</i> (44) (27%)
					1				1	<i>Lactococcus garvieae</i> (g)	
					1				1	<i>Lactococcus raffinolactis</i> (h)	
	2	5	3	2	2	2	5	1	22	<i>Leuconostoc pseudomesenteroides</i> (i)	<i>Leuconostoc</i> (44) (27%)
		3	4		6	1	2	1	17	<i>Leuconostoc mesenteroides</i> (j)	
		1	3		1				5	<i>Leuconostoc citreum</i> (k)	
		2		1	2			4	9	<i>Enterococcus durans</i> (l)	<i>Enterococcus</i> (16) (10%)
		1			2	1			4	<i>Enterococcus faecalis</i> (m)	
					1				1	<i>Enterococcus faecium</i> (n)	
						2			2	<i>Enterococcus sacharominimus</i> (o)	
					2				2	<i>Streptococcus</i> sp. (p)	
					2				2	Unidentified (q)	Unidentified (2) (1%)

^a All strains have been deposited in Collections Coordonnées Marocaines de Micro-organismes (CCMM) and in BCCM/LMG. In CCMM Bacteria collection the following numbers have been given: (a) B222 → B257; (b) B258 → B265; (c) B216 → B221; (d) B210 → B214; (e) B215; (f) B267 → B308; (g) B266; (h) B309; (i) B350 → B371; (j) B333 → B349; (k) B328 → B332; (l) B310 → B318; (m) B319 → B322; (n) B323; (o) B208 → B209; (p) B324 → B325; (q) B326 → B327.

^b The cfu/g correspond to an average number.

Table 2
Discrepancies between SDS-PAGE/(GTG)₅-PCR and API 50CHL identification results

SDS-PAGE/(GTG) ₅ -PCR identification result	Number of isolates	API 50CHL identification result
<i>Enterococcus durans</i>	2	<i>Lactococcus lactis</i> (very good identification)
<i>Enterococcus faecalis</i>	1	<i>Lactococcus lactis</i> (low discrimination)
<i>Enterococcus faecalis</i>	1	<i>Leuconostoc lactis</i> (excellent identification)
<i>Enterococcus faecium</i>	1	<i>Lactococcus lactis</i> (good identification)
<i>Lactococcus garviae</i>	1	<i>Lactococcus lactis</i> (very good identification)
<i>Lactococcus lactis</i>	3	<i>Lactobacillus paracasei</i> (unacceptable profile)
<i>Leuconostoc pseudomesenteroides</i>	1	<i>Lactobacillus acidophilus</i> (good identification)
<i>Streptococcus</i> sp.	2	<i>Leuconostoc lactis</i> (good identification)

The dominant genera isolated from Jben were *Lactobacillus* (34% of the isolates), *Lactococcus* (27%), *Leuconostoc* (27%) and *Enterococcus* (10%). Except for the genus *Enterococcus*, similar results have been reported [7]. At the species level, only *Leuconostoc pseudomesenteroides* occurred in all samples examined. The species *Lactobacillus plantarum* is dominantly present in all samples except one, and contributes to flavor development [16,17] and production of a protective antimicrobial agent in the cheese [18,19]. The latter two species together with *Lactococcus lactis* and *Leuconostoc mesenteroides* constitute the vast majority of the isolates (71%). In a previous study, it has been reported that the dominant microflora of Jben comprises the species *Lactococcus lactis*, *Leuconostoc mesenteroides* and *Lactobacillus casei* [3]. Part of this discrepancy may be explained by the fact that in the latter study mainly classical biochemical and physiological tests were used which often have proven to be unsatisfactory for the identification of LAB [7, Table 2]. Less dominant species were *Lactobacillus paracasei* and *Lactobacillus brevis*, each being isolated in at least three samples. The species *Lactobacillus rhamnosus* and *Lactobacillus buchneri* were even less widely distributed. The presence of *Lactobacillus rhamnosus* raises some health concern because in some reports it has been associated with clinical syndromes, such as endocarditis [20]. The species *Lactococcus garviae* and *Lactococcus raffinolactis* were found only once. This is also the first report of the occurrence of the newly described species *Enterococcus sacharominimus* in Moroccan Jben [21].

The non-standardized conditions in Jben processing result in a product of variable hygienic quality, which may be a vehicle for pathogens responsible for serious food-borne disease such as *Listeria monocytogenes* [2]. The acidification due to the presence of LAB in soft white cheese will not prevent the development and spread of *Listeria monocytogenes* [2]. According to the results already described [3], pathogens of major health concern including *Salmonella* spp., *Yersinia enterocolitica* and *Listeria monocytogenes* were detected in traditional Jben at frequencies of 10%, 4.1% and 18.1%, respectively. As far as we know, listeriosis has not been associated with Jben in Morocco. Nevertheless, the

absence of epidemiological studies concerning the disease in the country could explain the lack of reports on listeriosis cases [2].

The overall presence of *Lactobacillus plantarum*, *Lactococcus lactis*, *Leuconostoc pseudomesenteroides* and *Leuconostoc mesenteroides* in soft white cheese offers the possibility of a standardized use of this set of organisms as inoculum.

In the future, the microbial composition of traditional food matrices such as Jben, Smen or Lben will be further clarified using molecular techniques such as culture-independent denaturing gradient gel electrophoresis (DGGE) analysis [22,23]. Nevertheless, the application of culture-dependent methods remains valuable as it allows isolation of cultures which may be used as starters to improve the technological properties for the preparation of Jben.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the Belgian General Administration for International Cooperation (Project: FRAB/1/98), UNESCO participating programme 2004–2005 (Project: pp04-27222505), and Centre National pour la Recherche Scientifique et Technique-Rabat Morocco (Project: PROTARS P2T3/28). We acknowledge also Dr. Khattabi for helping us with the sampling of the cheeses.

References

- [1] Benkerroum, N. and Tamime, A.Y. (2004) Technology transfer of some Moroccan traditional dairy products (Lben, Jben, Smen) to small industrial scale. *Food Microbiol.* 21, 399–414.
- [2] Benkerroum, N., Oubel, H., Zahar, M., Dlia, S. and Filai-Maltouf, A. (2000) Isolation of bacteriocin producing *Lactococcus lactis* subsp. *lactis* and application to control *Listeria monocytogenes* in Moroccan Jben. *J. Appl. Microbiol.* 89, 960–968.
- [3] Hamama, A. (1997) Improvements of the manufacture of traditional fermented products in Morocco: case of Jben (Moroccan traditional fresh cheese) In: *Emerging Technology Series-Food Processing Technologies for Africa* (Dirar, H.a., Ed.), pp. 85–102. UNIDO, Vienna.

- [4] Beresford, T. and Williams, A. (2004) The microbiology of cheese ripening In: Cheese Chemistry, Physics and Microbiology (Fox, McSweeney, Cogan and Guinee, Eds.), 3rd ed, pp. 287–318. Elsevier/Academic Press, Amsterdam/New York.
- [5] Giraffa, G. and Neviani, E. (1999) Different *Lactobacillus helveticus* strain populations dominate during Grana Padano cheesemaking. Food Microbiol. 16, 205–210.
- [6] Hertel, C., Ludwig, W., Pot, B., Kersters, K. and Schleifer, K.H. (1993) Differentiation of lactobacilli occurring in fermented milk products by using oligonucleotide probes and electrophoretic protein profiles. Syst. Appl. Microbiol. 16, 463–467.
- [7] Pérez, G., Cardell, E. and Zarate, V. (2000) Protein fingerprinting as a complementary analysis to classical phenotyping for the identification of lactic acid bacteria from Tenerife cheese. Lait 80, 589–600.
- [8] Tsakalidou, E., Manolopoulou, E., Kabaraki, E., Zoidou, E., Pot, B., Kersters, K. and Kalantzopoulos, G. (1994) The combined use of whole-cell protein extracts for the identification (SDS–PAGE) and enzyme activity screening of lactic acid bacteria isolated from traditional Greek dairy products. Syst. Appl. Microbiol. 17, 444–458.
- [9] Ztaliou, I., Tsakalidou, E., Tzanetakis, N. and Kalantzopoulos, G. (1996) *Lactobacillus plantarum* strains isolated from traditional Greek cheese. Taxonomic characterization and screening of enzyme activities. Lait 76, 209–216.
- [10] Farber, J.M. (1996) An introduction to the hows and whys of molecular typing. J. Food Protection 59, 1091–1101.
- [11] Pot, B., Ludwig, W., Kersters, K. Ad and Schleifer, K.-H. (1994) Taxonomy of lactic acid bacteria In: Bacteriocins of lactic acid bacteria; microbiology, genetics and applications (De Vuyst, L. and Vandamme, E.J., Eds.), pp. 13–90. C and Hall, London, UK.
- [12] Gevers, D., Huys, G. and Swings, J. (2001) Applicability of rep-PCR fingerprinting for identification of *Lactobacillus* species. FEMS Microbiol. Lett. 205, 31–36.
- [13] Versalovic, J., Schneider, M., De Bruijn, F.J. and Lupski, J.R. (1994) Genomic fingerprinting of bacteria using repetitive sequence-based polymerase chain reaction. Methods in Molecular Cell Biology 5, 25–40.
- [14] Pot, B. and Janssens, D. (1993) The potential role of a culture collection for identification and maintenance of lactic acid bacteria In: The lactic acid bacteria, proceedings of the first lactic acid bacteria conference (Foo, E.L., Griffin, G., Molby, R. and Heden, C.G., Eds.), pp. 81–87. Horizon Scientific Press, Norfolk, Va.
- [15] Temmerman, R., Pot, B., Huys, G. and Swings, J. (2003) Identification and antibiotic susceptibility of bacterial isolates from probiotic products. Int. J. Food Microbiol. 81 (1), 1–10.
- [16] Albenzio, M., Corbo, M.R., Rehman, S.U., Fox, P.F, De Angelis, M., Corsetti, A., Sevi, A. and Gobetti, M. (2001) Microbiological and biochemical characteristics of Canestrato Pugliese cheese made from raw milk, pasteurized milk or by heating the curd in hot whey. Int. J. Food Microbiol. 67, 35–48.
- [17] Amarita, F., Requena, T., Taborda, G., Amigo, L. and Pelaez, C. (2001) *Lactobacillus casei* and *Lactobacillus plantarum* initiate catabolism of methionine transamination. J. Appl. Microbiol. 90, 971–978.
- [18] Somers, E.B., Johnson, M.E. and Wong, A.C. (2001) Biofilm formation and contamination of cheese by nonstarter lactic acid bacteria in dairy environment. J. Dairy Sci. 84, 1926–1936.
- [19] Yiu, S.H. (1985) A fluorescence microscopic study of cheese. Food Microstruct. 4, 99–106.
- [20] Harty, D.W.S., Patrikakis, M. and Knox, K.W. (1993) Identification of *Lactobacillus* strains isolated from patients with infective endocarditis and comparison of their surface-associated properties with those of other strains of the same species. Microbial Ecology in Health and Disease 6, 191–201.
- [21] Vancanneyt, M., Zamfir, M., Devriese, L.A., Lefebvre, K., Engelbeen, K., Vandemeulebrocke, K., Amar, M., De Vuyst, L., Haesebrouk, F. and Swings, J. (2004) *Enterococcus saccharominimus* sp. Nov., from dairy products. Int. J. Syst. Evol. Microbiol. 54, 2175–2179.
- [22] Ercolini, D., Moschetti, G., Blaiotta, G. and Coppola, S. (2001) Behavior of variable V3 region from 16S rDNA of important lactic acid bacteria in denaturing gradient gel electrophoresis. Curr. Microbiol. 42, 199–202.
- [23] Randazzo, C.L., Torriani, S., Akkermans, A.D.L., de Vos, W.M. and Vaughan, E.E. (2002) Diversity, dynamics and activity of bacterial communities during production of an artisanal Sicilian cheese as evaluated by 16S rRNA analysis. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 68, 1882–1892.