

Available online at www.sciencedirect.com



JOURNAL OF NON-CRYSTALLINE SOLIDS

Journal of Non-Crystalline Solids 353 (2007) 514-517

www.elsevier.com/locate/jnoncrysol

Reactivity of SiCl and SiF groups in SiO₂ glass with mobile interstitial O₂ and H₂O molecules

Koichi Kajihara ^{a,*}, Masahiro Hirano ^a, Linards Skuja ^{a,b}, Hideo Hosono ^{a,c}

^a Transparent Electro-Active Materials Project, ERATO-SORST, Japan Science and Technology Agency, Frontier Collaborative Research

Center, Mail Box S2-13, Tokyo Institute of Technology, 4259 Nagatsuta, Midori-ku, Yokohama 226-8503, Japan

^b Institute of Solid State Physics, University of Latvia, Kengaraga iela 8, LV1063 Riga, Latvia

^c Materials and Structures Laboratory and Frontier Collaborative Research Center, Tokyo Institute of Technology,

4259 Nagatsuta, Midori-ku, Yokohama 226-8503, Japan

Available online 15 February 2007

Abstract

Reactions of common network-bound halogens in synthetic SiO₂ glass, SiCl and SiF groups, with interstitial O₂ and H₂O molecules incorporated by thermally annealing were studied. It was found that the chemical properties are distinctly different between SiCl and SiF groups. SiCl groups react with interstitial O₂ and H₂O to form interstitial Cl₂ and HCl, respectively. In contrast, formation of interstitial F_2 and HF due to the reaction of SiF groups with interstitial O₂ and H₂O is not observed. The reactivity of SiCl and SiF groups is in accord with the properties and thermodynamic data of their respective analogous compounds, SiCl₄ and SiF₄. © 2007 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

PACS: 82.33.Ln; 78.30.Ly; 78.40.Pg; 61.72.Ji

Keywords: Chemical properties; Diffusion and transport; Optical properties; Absorption

1. Introduction

Synthetic SiO₂ glass with low metallic impurity content is a unique optical material of excellent transparency from infrared (IR) to vacuum-ultraviolet (VUV, $\lambda \leq 190$ nm) spectral region and of good shape workability. In such high-purity SiO₂ glass, a considerable part of radiationinduced color centers are created from strained Si–O–Si bonds, that is, chemical bonds destabilized by residual strain in the glass network. The residual strain can be greatly reduced by incorporating functional groups such as SiCl, SiF and SiOH groups, which terminate the SiO₂ glass network, reduce the viscosity and enhance the structural relaxation.

Chemical properties of the network-bound functional groups is a subject of intense interest because they are often

* Corresponding author. *E-mail address:* kaji2@lucid.msl.titech.ac.jp (K. Kajihara). involved in radiation-induced defect processes along with mobile species incorporated in interstitial structural voids in SiO₂ glass. Recently, we found that interstitial O₂ and H₂O molecules loaded by thermal annealing convert SiCl groups into interstitial Cl₂ and HCl molecules, respectively [1]. It is interesting to examine whether similar reactions take place for SiF groups. Fluorine in the form of SiF groups is an important and deliberately-introduced network-bound halogen dopant in synthetic SiO₂ glass, since they are hard to radiolyze and exhibit no optical absorption bands within the 'transparency window' of SiO₂ glass ranging from IR to VUV.

In this report, we investigate the difference in reactivity between SiCl and SiF groups utilizing the thermal gas loading in SiO₂ glass.

2. Experimental procedure

Two types of synthetic SiO_2 glasses, denoted as 'Cl-doped' (SiCl, $\sim 7 \times 10^{19}$ cm⁻³; SiOH $< 10^{17}$ cm⁻³; Si–Si,

 $\sim 2 \times 10^{17} \text{ cm}^{-3}$; 6.5 × 10 × 1 mm³) and 'F-doped' (SiF, $\sim 1 \times 10^{21} \text{ cm}^{-3}$; SiOH $\sim 2 \times 10^{17} \text{ cm}^{-3}$; 6.5 $\times 10 \times 2 \text{ mm}^{3}$), were used. O₂-loaded samples were prepared by thermally annealing the sample for 240 h at 1000 °C in a sealed silica tube (inner diameter, ~ 12 mm; thickness, ~ 1.2 mm) filled with ~ 2 atm O₂ at room temperature. H₂O-loaded samples were similarly prepared by thermally annealing the sample with liquid H₂O and air in a silica tube. The amount of H₂O was controlled to make the partial pressure of H₂O during the annealing \sim 7 atm. The Cl-doped sample was annealed for 480 h at 400 °C. The F-doped sample was treated for 3720 h at lower temperature, 170 °C, to increase the fraction of interstitial H₂O with respect to that of SiOH groups by suppressing the hydrolysis of glass network [2– 4]. The samples were characterized by visible-ultraviolet (VIS-UV), Fourier-transform (FT) IR and FT-Raman spectrometers (Hitachi U-4000, Perkin Elmer Spectrum One and Nicolet Model 960, respectively).

3. Results

The O₂ or H₂O loading did not change the appearance of the samples including clarity and surface smoothness. Fig. 1 shows emission spectra of the Cl- and F-doped samples before and after the O₂ loading at 1000 °C, measured under excitation with Nd:YAG laser light ($\lambda = 1064$ nm, ~1 W at sample position). Emission observed before the O₂ loading was due to the Raman scattering of SiO₂ glass. In the Fdoped sample, a Raman band of SiF groups located at ~945 cm⁻¹ [5–8] was also seen. The O₂ loading caused a new emission band at ~1535 cm⁻¹ (absolute energy, ~7860 cm⁻¹) attributed to the photoluminescence (PL) of interstitial O₂ [9]. The concentration of interstitial O₂ evaluated from the PL intensity normalized to that of the SiO₂ Raman bands [10] were ~2 × 10¹⁷ and ~3 × 10¹⁷ cm⁻³ for the Cl- and F-doped samples, respectively.

Fig. 2 shows UV–VIS absorption spectra of Cl- and Fdoped samples before and after the O_2 loading. In the Cl-



Fig. 1. IR emission spectra of Cl- and F-doped SiO₂ glasses under excitation with Nd:YAG laser light ($\lambda = 1064$ nm) before and after O₂ loading (1000 °C, 240 h). The emission consists of Raman bands of SiO₂ glass (<1400 cm⁻¹) and an O₂ photoluminescence band (~1535 cm⁻¹, absolute energy ~7860 cm⁻¹) [9,10].

doped sample, an absorption band located at 3.8 eV [11], which is assigned to interstitial Cl₂ [12–14], was formed by the O₂ loading [1]. In contrast, the O₂ loading did not induce any obvious absorption bands in the F-doped sample between 2 and 6 eV. The evaluated concentration of interstitial Cl₂ was $\sim 2 \times 10^{18}$ cm⁻³ ($\sim 5\%$ of total Cl atoms) and the detection limit of interstitial F₂ was $\sim 5 \times 10^{17}$ cm⁻³ (< 0.1% of total F atoms), assuming that the absorption cross sections are identical to those of gaseous Cl₂ [15] and F₂ [16], respectively.

Fig. 3 shows IR absorption spectra of Cl- and F-doped samples before and after the H₂O loading. The H₂O loading incorporated $\sim 10^{19}-10^{20}$ cm⁻³ SiOH groups and $\sim 10^{18}-10^{19}$ cm⁻³ interstitial H₂O [17], whose absorption bands are located at ~ 3670 and $\sim 3300-3500$ cm⁻¹, respectively [3,4]. No other distinct induced absorption was found in the F-doped sample. In contrast, an absorption band located at ~ 2815 cm⁻¹ [3,11,18,19], which is due to interstitial HCl [1], was observed in the Cl-doped sample. The concentration of HCl was calculated to be $\sim 1 \times 10^{19}$ cm⁻³ ($\sim 15\%$ of total Cl atoms) using a peak absorption cross section ($\sim 2 \times 10^{-19}$ cm⁻² [1]), which was



Fig. 2. VIS–UV absorption spectra of Cl- and F-doped SiO₂ glasses before and after O₂ loading (1000 °C, 240 h), and their difference spectra. Optical loss in the pristine samples is due to the surface reflection. Absorption cross section spectra of gaseous Cl₂ and F₂ molecules are taken from Refs. [15,16].



Fig. 3. IR absorption spectra of Cl- and F-doped SiO₂ glasses before and after H_2O loading (400 °C, 480 h for Cl-doped sample; 170 °C, 3720 h for F-doped sample).

evaluated assuming that interstitial HCl and HCl dissolved in rare-gas matrices [20,21] have the same absorption intensity.

4. Discussion

O₂ loading forms interstitial Cl₂ in Cl-doped SiO₂ glasses (Fig. 2) [1]. From an analogy of this reaction with the oxidation of SiCl₄, which is spontaneous due to a large negative change of the Gibbs free energy, ΔG° (Table 1), the formation of interstitial Cl₂ is attributed to the oxidation of SiCl groups [1]:

$$2 \equiv \operatorname{SiCl} + 1/2\operatorname{O}_2 \to \equiv \operatorname{Si-O-Si} = +\operatorname{Cl}_2.$$
(1)

The oxidation of SiCl groups (Eq. (1)) and SiCl₄ are of practical importance in preparing 'dry' SiO_2 glasses without post-dehydration by the plasma chemical vapor deposition.

SiF₄ is very stable against oxidation as expected from a large positive ΔG° (Table 1). Hence, it is unlikely that SiF groups, a derivative of SiF₄, react with interstitial O₂ as

$$2 \equiv \mathrm{SiF} + 1/2\mathrm{O}_2 \to \equiv \mathrm{Si-O-Si} \equiv +\mathrm{F}_2. \tag{2}$$

Table 1								
Standard	Gibbs	energy	change	of re	eactions	ΛG°	at 29	8 K

e, e	
Reactions	$\Delta G^{\circ} (\text{kJ mol Si}^{-1})^{\text{a}}$
$SiCl_4(g) + O_2(g) \rightarrow SiO_2(s) + 2Cl_2(g)$	-240
$SiCl_4(g) + 4H_2O(g) \rightarrow Si(OH)_4(s) + 4HCl(g)$	-180
$SiCl_4(g) + 2H_2O(g) \rightarrow SiO_2(s) + 4HCl(g)$	-160
$SiF_4(g) + O_2(g) \rightarrow SiO_2(s) + 2F_2(g)$	720
$SiF_4(g) + 4H_2O(g) \rightarrow Si(OH)_4(s) + 4HF(g)$	50
$SiF_4(g) + 2H_2O(g) \rightarrow SiO_2(s) + 4HF(g)$	70
$SiF_4(g) + 2/3H_2O(g) \rightarrow 1/3SiO_2(s) + 2/3H_2SiF_6$	-290 ± 130^{b}

(s) and (g) denote the solid and gaseous phases, respectively.

^a Calculated from the standard Gibbs energy of formation at 298 K, reported in Ref. [25].

^b Estimate value derived assuming that the standard Gibbs energy of formation of H_2SiF_6 is $-2600 \pm 200 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$ with considering that of Na_2SiF_6 ($-2750 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$), K_2SiF_6 ($-2800 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$) and $(NH_4)_2SiF_6$ ($-2370 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$).

Indeed, interstitial F_2 is not detected in the F-doped SiO₂ glass loaded with O₂ (Fig. 2).

SiCl₄ reacts spontaneously with H_2O (Table 1). Actually, hydrolysis and polycondensation of SiCl₄ in oxyhydrogen flame or aqueous solutions are widely used to fabricate SiO₂. Thus, it is probable that interstitial HCl is generated by analogous reactions:

$$\equiv \text{SiCl} + \text{H}_2\text{O} \rightarrow \equiv \text{SiOH} + \text{HCl}, \tag{3}$$

$$2 \equiv \text{SiCl} + \text{H}_2\text{O} \rightarrow \equiv \text{Si} - \text{O} - \text{Si} \equiv + 2\text{HCl}.$$
(4)

Formation of interstitial HCl is confirmed by its characteristic IR absorption band at 2815 cm⁻¹ (Fig. 3) [1], which is slightly shifted to lower energy side compared with that of a free HCl molecule at 2886 cm⁻¹ [22]. This shift is likely due to the hydrogen bonding and dispersion interaction, induced by the surrounding H₂O molecules, SiOH groups and oxygen atoms in the SiO₂ network.

 SiF_4 promptly reacts with H_2O to produce SiO_2 and H_2SiF_6 (Table 1). This reaction can be separately written as

$$SiF_4 + 2H_2O \rightarrow SiO_2 + 4HF,$$
 (5)

$$SiF_4 + 2HF \to H_2 SiF_6. \tag{6}$$

In fact, the disproportionation of SiF_4 is aided by a large affinity between SiF_4 and HF (Eq. (6)): a part of SiF_4 acts as an HF trap and thereby proceeds the hydrolysis of SiF_4 (Eq. (5)), which itself is energetically unfavorable (Table 1).

As shown by the detection of interstitial HCl, IR absorption may also be used to check the possible formation of interstitial HF by reactions

$$\equiv SiF + H_2O \rightarrow \equiv SiOH + HF, \tag{7}$$

$$2 \equiv \mathrm{SiF} + \mathrm{H}_{2}\mathrm{O} \rightarrow \equiv \mathrm{Si-O-Si} \equiv + 2\mathrm{HF}.$$
(8)

A free HF molecule has an absorption band at 3962 cm^{-1} [22] but this band can be shifted to lower energy side for HF in condensed media. For example, peak position of HF molecules dissolved in rare-gas solids is widely varied between ~4000 and 3000 cm⁻¹ by clustering [23] and by hydrogen bonding with codoped H₂O molecules [24]. Unfortunately, this spectral range significantly overlaps with the absorption bands of SiOH groups and interstitial H_2O , making the search for the HF band difficult. Nevertheless, an IR absorption band of intensity comparable to the interstitial HCl band (Fig. 3, top) should have been detectable if it were present. On the other hand, its absence (Fig. 3, bottom) is consistent with the expectations from the thermodynamic data listed in Table 1. Thus, it is considered that interstitial HF is not formed by H_2O loading in F-doped SiO₂ glasses.

5. Conclusions

Reactivity of SiCl and SiF groups was investigated by incorporating O_2 and H_2O molecules from ambient atmosphere by thermal annealing. SiCl groups were decomposed by O_2 and H_2O into interstitial Cl_2 and HCl, respectively. However, it seems likely that SiF groups do not undergo similar reactions to generate interstitial F_2 and HF. It is possible to predict the reactivity of SiCl and SiF groups from the Gibbs free energy change of reactions of the corresponding tetrahalogenosilanes, SiF₄ and SiCl₄. It is shown that SiF groups are much more chemically inert than SiCl groups, confirming the utility of SiF groups as dopants to relax the glass structure and to suppress photochemical reactions in SiO₂ glass.

References

- [1] K. Kajihara, M. Hirano, L. Skuja, H. Hosono, J. Appl. Phys. 98 (2005) 043515.
- [2] R.H. Doremus, Diffusion of Reactive Molecules in Solids and Melts, John Wiley & Sons, New York, 2002.
- [3] K.M. Davis, M. Tomozawa, J. Non-Cryst. Solids 201 (1996) 177.

- [4] A. Oehler, M. Tomozawa, J. Non-Cryst. Solids 347 (2004) 211.
- [5] P. Dumas, J. Corset, W. Carvalho, Y. Levy, Y. Neuman, J. Non-Cryst. Solids 47 (1982) 239.
- [6] P. Dumas, J. Corset, Y. Levy, V. Newman, J. Raman Spectrosc. 13 (1982) 134.
- [7] C.A.M. Mulder, J. Non-Cryst. Solids 95&96 (1987) 303.
- [8] K. Awazu, H. Kawazoe, K. Muta, J. Appl. Phys. 69 (1991) 4183.
- [9] L. Skuja, B. Güttler, D. Schiel, A.R. Silin, J. Appl. Phys. 83 (1998) 6106.
- [10] K. Kajihara, M. Hirano, M. Uramoto, Y. Morimoto, L. Skuja, H. Hosono, J. Appl. Phys. 98 (2005) 013527.
- [11] H. Nishikawa, R. Tohmon, Y. Ohki, K. Nagasawa, Y. Hama, J. Appl. Phys. 65 (1989) 4672.
- [12] K. Awazu, H. Kawazoe, K. Muta, T. Ibuki, K. Tabayashi, K. Shobatake, J. Appl. Phys. 69 (1991) 1849.
- [13] K. Awazu, K. Harada, H. Kawazoe, K. Muta, J. Appl. Phys. 72 (1992) 4696.
- [14] L. Skuja, in: G. Pacchioni, L. Skuja, D.L. Griscom (Eds.), Defects in SiO₂ and Related Dielectrics: Science and Technology, NATO Science Series, Kluwer Academic, Dordrecht, Netherlands, 2000, p. 73.
- [15] G.E. Gibson, N.S. Bayliss, Phys. Rev. 44 (1933) 188.
- [16] R.K. Steunenberg, R.C. Vogel, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 78 (1956) 901.
- [17] K. Kajihara, M. Hirano, L. Skuja, H. Hosono, Phys. Rev. B 72 (2005) 214112.
- [18] H. Wakabayashi, Trans. Mater. Res. Soc. Jpn. 8 (1992) 426.
- [19] R.W. Hepburn, M. Tomozawa, J. Non-Cryst. Solids 281 (2001) 162.
- [20] H. Goldring, J. Kwok, G.W. Robinson, J. Chem. Phys. 43 (1965) 3220.
- [21] J.M.P.J. Verstegen, H. Goldring, S. Kimel, B. Katz, J. Chem. Phys. 44 (1966) 3216.
- [22] K. Nakamoto, Infrared and Raman Spectra of Inorganic and Coordination Compounds, 5th Ed., John Wiley, New York, 1997.
- [23] L. Andrews, V.E. Bondybey, J.H. English, J. Chem. Phys. 81 (1984) 3452.
- [24] L. Andrews, G.L. Johnson, J. Chem. Phys. 79 (1983) 3670.
- [25] D.R. Lide (Ed.), CRC Handbook of Chemistry and Physics, 76th Ed., CRC, Boca Raton, 1995.